Exhibit No.

Date 2/8/13

Bill No. 98 125

Table 7. Summary of Results from Literature Review

Study	Analysis Details			Employment Impacts (Jobs per-MW)		
	Publication Year	Area Covered by Analysis	Analysis Perspective	Construction Period (Short- Term Jobs)	Operations- period (Annual)	Ratio of Operations- Period Impacts (Community to Absentee; e.g., 2.4- 6.8:1)
DanMar & Associates	1996	six-county area	projection	0.8	1.3	2.4 - 6.8
GAO	2004	county	projection	0.15 - 2.58	0.8 - 1.3	2.0 - 3.0
Kildegaard & Myers- Kuykindall	2006	county	projection	n/a	0.8 - 1.4	1.9 - 3.4
Torgerson, Sorte, & Nam	2006	county	projection	n/a	0.5	2.1
Lantz & Tegen	2008	state	projection	3	0.63 - 0.92	1.7 - 2.5
Lantz	2008	state	projection	2.8 - 4.2	0.45 - 0.52	1.5 - 1.7




Figure 3. Comparing the ratio of economic development impacts between Community Wind and Hypothetical Absentee Projects.

Note: The ratio of impacts should be interpreted as the value shown to one (e.g., 2.8:1).

Source: E. Lantz and S. Tegen, 2009. *Economic Development Impacts of Community Wind Projects: A Review and Empirical Evaluation*. National Renewable Energy Laboratory, U.S. Department of Energy. Available at: http://www.nrel.gov/docs/fy09osti/45555.pdf